

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

			<del></del>	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/006,825	12/05/2001	David Martin Jackson	15,942.1	2540
	590 07/25/2003	EXAMINER		
JOHN S. PRATT			EXAMINER	
KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP (KIMBERLY CLARK) 1100 PEACHTREE STREET			BUTTNER, DAVID J	
SUITE 2800 ATLANTA, GA 30309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1712	de de des	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	<b>,</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/006,825	JACKSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David Buttner	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	lav 2003	,				
	s action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <1-56 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
Patent and Trademark Office	<del></del>					

Application/Control Number: 10/006,825

Art Unit: 1712

The lined out entries on the 1449 forms were missing or not described in English.

The massive IDS statement is not in compliance with the guidelines of MPEP 2004 (13). Long IDS statements are to be avoided if possible and should be accompanied by some indicator which documents are most relevant. Clearly irrelevant/marginal information should not have been submitted. The examiner's limited examination time is not extended in order to review such IDS statements.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-14, 18-21, 23-31 and 52-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Acrylic acid which is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The specification as filed requires the sulfonate anion modified polymer to also contain acrylic acid (page 10, line 3; page 13 lines 14, 32). Omission of this limitation renders the claims invalid for failing to satisfy the written description. See MPEP 2163.05(I).

Claims 1-52 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-46 of U.S. Patent No. 6579570. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the application and patent claim fibrous substrates covered or being covered by the same composition.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,825

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 1-52 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application No. 9-900698. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both claim fibrous substrates covered or being covered with the same composition. See claims 26 and 28 of publication 2002/0155281.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-52 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application No. 9-564837. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both claim fibrous substrates covered or being covered with the same composition.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-52 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application No. 9-565125. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both claim fibrous substrates covered or being covered or being covered with the same composition. Note claims 74, 75, 91, 100, 131, 134 etc of the copending application.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,825

Art Unit: 1712

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Applicant's arguments filed 5/21/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejections do not require terminal disclaimers.

This argument is disingenuous as applicant was well aware that applications 9-564780 and 9-564837 had already been allowed at the time the argument was submitted.

The obviousness double patenting over 9-564424 (now US 6548592) is withdrawn as no claims requiring a fibrous substrate are present in that patent.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

'Application/Control Number: 10/006,825

Art Unit: 1712

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David Buttner whose telephone number is 703-308-

2403. The examiner can normally be reached on Weekdays from 10:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on 703-308-2340. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310

for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

D: Buttner/mn July 24, 2003 DAVID J. BUTTNER PRIMARY EXAMINER

David Sitter